

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,989	03/10/2004	Hoi-Sang Li	SUND 500	2220
23995 7	7590 11/08/2006		EXAM	INER
RABIN & Berdo, PC			FIDEI, DAVID	
1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3728	
		DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/795,989	LI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David T. Fidei	3728
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition	ed on 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal matte ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	
Disposition of Claims		
	re withdrawn from consideration. Sted. Sted. Sted to. Stion and/or election requirement. Stion and/or election requirement. Stion and/or election requirement. Stion and/or election requirement. Stion and/or election requirement.	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in Aport of the priority documents have been an all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because numerals 100, 200, 300 and 400 have no lead lines. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-10 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 16 recite the disk fitting structure with lateral surfaces having a first hook and a second hook. These terms have no antecedent basis in the present disclosure relative to the disk fitting structure. Is applicant referring to the buckles 410, 412?

As to claims 5 and 20 they similarly recite a fixing hole relative to the disk fitting structure that has no antecedent basis in the disclosure. Does this language refer to the opening between the buckles 410, 412?

With regard to claims 8-10 it is not clear what the dependency is. Claim 8 depends from itself and recites the disk holding structure according to claim 8. In claim 9 it is not clear what a first care body refers to.

Claims 16 and 20 each recite "the inner surface" in line 7, that has no antecedent basis. What inner surface does the inner surface refer to? Also, claim 20, lines 1 and 2, recite the disk supporting structure which has no antecedent basis in claim 15. A disk fitting structure is recited in claim in prior claim from which 20 depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 15, 1, 14 and 8/1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Philosophe (US Patent no. 4,702,369). As to claim 15 a disk holder is disclosed comprising a base (16) whose one side has a pivot portion (160, 162, 164) which has two first pivot lateral surfaces (148, 158), wherein each of the two first pivot lateral surfaces has a pivot shaft (152, 154, see col. 9, line 35); a lid (14) having two opposite sides (not numbered) and each of the two sides connecting to a baffle (70, 72) whose one end has a pivot hole (84, 86) for buckling with the pivot shaft (152, 154) correspondingly, wherein the lid swings on the base when a inner surface (74) of the lid faces a inner surface (142) of the base; and a disk fitting structure (12) connected to the base (16) and positioned on the inner surface (142) of the base for fitting a disk and covering part of the inner surface of the base.

As to claim 1, a similar interpretation follows as with respect to claim 15 but a first case body is defined by member (16) with a second case body (14). A first inner surface (142) includes an equivalent pivot portion defined by (160, 162, 164) with pivot shafts (152, 154) and

a second inner surface (74) has opposite sides with a first baffle (70), pivot hole (84), second baffle (72) with a second pivot hole (86). An equivalent disk fitting structure is formed by body (12).

As to claim 8/1 (if this is the dependency desired) a third lateral surface is defined by member (164).

As to claim 14, the first baffle (70) has a first protrusion (120) and a second baffle (72) has a second protrusion (126) in as much as is claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-7, 8/2-7 (if claim 8 depends from any of claims 2-7), 9, 10 and 16-23 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

8. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

David T. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf

November 6, 2006